MINUTES OF THE MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAILS COMMITTEE

September 1, 1999 Maricopa Association of Governments Office, Ocotillo Room 302 North First Avenue Phoenix, Arizona

VOTING MEMBERS

Carl Doak, Chandler
Mark Weiner, Gilbert
Pat Thurman, Glendale
* Joe Evans, Goodyear
Bob Erdman, MCDOT
Doug Davis, Mesa

Lisa Ruane, Peoria Jeff Van Skike, Phoenix (St. Trans.) Roger Olsen, Phoenix (Water) Rod Ramos, Scottsdale Andy Goh, Tempe

ADVISORY MEMBERS

James Pulice, Jr., AGC Jim Grose, AGC Paul Nebeker, UTCA Tom Domizi, UTCA

- * Jeff Benedict, ARPA
- * Peter Kandaris, SRP Engineering Mike Bonar, ACEA

ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Paul Ward, MAG

GUESTS/VISITORS

Ted Collins from MCDOT

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 1:35 p.m.

2. Approval of Minutes

Since the August meeting was canceled, no minutes were taken. Therefore, no approval of minuted were required for the August meeting.

^{*} Members neither present or represented by proxy.

3. Submitted Cases:

- a. Case 99-02 Misc. Corrections Cases A through F: A short run down of the cases was provided by Doug Davis. There were no questions or comments from the members. A motion to vote was made by Pat Thurman and seconded by Doug Davis. The vote was 9 yes, 0 no, 0 abstained and 1 absent.
- b. Case 99-03 Sections 104 & 105 Issue Resolution: Pat Thurman provided the most recent revision of the case which was dated September 1, 1999. Pat discussed the changes provided by the City of Mesa. After a short review by the members, the members had two comments resulting in changes in the wording. The two changes were: 1.) Section 104.1.6, E: Change the title to "If mediation is not chosen, then the Contractor's option is as follows:" and 2.) Section 105.18 add the word "member" to the end of the 4th sentence. Since the latest version was distributed today, a tenth meeting will be held on October 6, 1999 and a vote will be taken at that time.
- c. Case 99-04 Sections 230 & 792 Dust Palliatives: There was no discussion, questions or comments from the members. The latest version of the case is dated August 18, 1999. A motion to vote was made by Doug Davis and seconded by Mark Weiner. The vote was 9 yes, 0 no, 0 abstained and 1 absent.
- d. Case 99-05 Barricade: Pat Thurman questioned the use of the 4 x 4 post and why the 6 x 6 was deleted some years ago. It was been reported to him that the 4 x 4 posts have not been strong enough. Some discussion followed which resulted in the possible use of a 4 x 6 posts. The change in the post sizes will be submitted next year. A motion to vote was made by Jeff Van Skike and seconded by Pat Thurman. The vote was 9 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain and 1 absent.
- e. Case 99-06 Section 109.9 Dollar Value of Major Item: Doug Davis provided a short discussion on the reason/need for the case. There was some questions from Bob Erdman regarding the dollar value between the steps. The way the table was established, it makes no difference what range the contract amount falls within. The amount of money for major/minimum bid items is a continuous line or graph when plotted, without a jump or gap. A motion to vote was made by Bob Erdman and seconded by Doug Davis. The vote was 9 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain and 1 absent.
- f. Case 99-07 Detail 270 Frame & Cover Installation & Grade Adjustment:
 Doug Davis provided a short discussion on the reason/need for the case. A motion to vote was made by Bob Erdman and seconded by Doug Davis. The vote was 9 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain and 1 absent.
- g. Case 99-08 Section 630 Gate Valves: There was some discussion regarding the test pressures on the class 150 valve. Once Doug informed the members that Class 150 was the class specified prior to the last revision, which the class was inadvertently omitted, the concerns of the members were resolved. A motion to vote was made by Roger Olsen and seconded by Doug Davis. The vote was 9 yes, 0 no, 0 abstained and 1 absent.
- h. Case 99-09 Detail 200 Pat Thurman noted that the reference to Note #3 can be

deleted in the title for the T Top pavement replacement since this case changed Note #3 and in turn, is no longer applicable. A motion to vote with the stipulation to delete "Note #3" was made by Jeff Van Skike and seconded by Doug Davis. The vote was 7 yes, 0 no, 1 abstained (Gilbert) and 2 absent.

- i. Case 99-10 ASTM Changes: There was no discussion, questions or comments on this case. A motion to vote was made by Bob Erdman and seconded by Mark Weiner. The vote was 9 yes, 0 no, 0 abstained and 1 absent.
- j. Case 99-11 Miscellaneous Corrections: After a short review by the members, the following correction/changes were recommended: 1.) Case R: Change the spelling of the work to "completely" and 2.) Case X: Change the word footage/lengths to "pipe". A motion to vote with the above two changes was made by Bob Erdman and seconded by Doug Davis. The vote was 8 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain and 2 absent.
- k. Case 99-12 Details 404, 427, 505 & 524: Jeff Van Skike formally withdrew the case for further review. Once further information is obtained, Jeff will resubmit the case next year.

4. General Discussion:

a. Roger Olsen provided documentation on two new ductile iron restraint joints. Since the last date for submitting new cases was in July, Roger would like to have the joints be considered next year (Case 00-01).

5. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 3:03 p. m.